

(emphasis added). Although Plaintiffs have addressed a defect in the initial motion by specifying when some of the hearings will take place (the first is currently scheduled for February 22, 2011), Plaintiffs have still not established that they will suffer an *irreparable* injury if the hearings are allowed to go forward. As the Court noted earlier, if Defendants were to provide a hearing that did not provide due process, the Court could simply order Defendants to

(1) provide a new hearing and (2) reimburse Plaintiffs their reasonable attorneys' fees incurred at the initial hearing, thus there is no danger of an irreparable injury here.

Because the Rule 65(b) prerequisites are not met, Plaintiffs' Amended Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order (doc. 8) is DENIED. Of course, Plaintiffs' preliminary injunction motion is still pending before the Court.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: February 11, 2011

/s/ Greg Kays
GREG KAYS, JUDGE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT